

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/091,877	03	3/05/2002	Philip T. Feldsine	150026.457C1 7854	
500	7590	09/08/2003			
		JAL PROPERTY	EXAMINER		
701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300				WINKLER, ULRIKE	
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1648	
	•			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003	1()

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/091,877	FELDSINE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ulrike Winkler	1648					
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	side in no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	··						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 27 is/are pending in the appli	cation						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 27</u> is/are rejected.							
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	oted or b)  objected to by the Exa	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	ammer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		) (d) - (f)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	a bassa bassa wasabasad						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
application from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).					
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>					

Application/Control Number: 10/091,877

Art Unit: 1648

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

# Information Disclosure Statement

An initialed and dated copy of Applicant's IDS form 1449, Paper No. 3, is attached to the instant Office Action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Atrache et al. (U.S. Pat. No.5,415,997, see IDS).

The instant invention is drawn to a composition comprising a general enrichment media and at least one structure modifying organic chemical. The structure modifying organic chemical is being interpreted to include antibodies, which modify the compound they attach too, and which qualify as being an organic chemical by the fact that they are made up of amino acids.

Attrache et al. anticipates the instant invention (see claim 1s, 8) in that it takes a test sample and exposes it to a structure modifying organic chemical, in this case an antibody,

Application/Control Number: 10/091,877

Art Unit: 1648

incubating the sample in a nutrient broth in the presence of the structure modifying organic chemical followed by assaying for the microorganism. Therefore, the instant invention is anticipated by Atrache et al.

Claims 1-4 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marino et al. (Journal of Bacteriology 1991, see IDS).

The recitation of the intended use recited in the preamble of the claimed method is not given patentable weight. The preamble "for exposing antigenic epitopes of a microorganism" is not given the effect of a limitation unless it breathes life and meaning into the claim. In order to limit the claim, the preamble must be "essential to point out the invention defined by the claim." *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951). Intended use recitations and other types of functional language cannot be entirely disregarded. However, in apparatus, article, and composition claims, intended use must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 938, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

The instant invention is interpreted to be a composition comprising a general enrichment media and at least one structure modifying organic chemical.

Marino et al. disclose incubating microorganism in growth medium (PPBE) and 1 mM 2,4-dinitrophenol (DNP). Therefore, the instant invention is anticipated by Marino et al.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/091,877

Art Unit: 1648

Claims 1-4 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohyama et al. (Journal of Bacteriology 1992, see IDS).

The recitation of the intended use recited in the preamble of the claimed method is not given patentable weight. The preamble "for exposing antigenic epitopes of a microorganism" is not given the effect of a limitation unless it breathes life and meaning into the claim. In order to limit the claim, the preamble must be "essential to point out the invention defined by the claim." *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951). Intended use recitations and other types of functional language cannot be entirely disregarded. However, in apparatus, article, and composition claims, intended use must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 938, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

The instant invention is interpreted to be a composition comprising a general enrichment media and at least one structure modifying organic chemical.

Ohyama et al. disclose incubating microorganism in growth medium in the presence of carbonyl cyanide-m-chlorophenyl hydrazone. Therefore, the instant invention is anticipated by Ohyama et al.

#### Conclusion

No claims allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/091,877

Art Unit: 1648

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ulrike Winkler, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-8294. The examiner can normally be reached M-F, 8:30 am - 5 pm. The examiner can also be reached via email. [ulrike.winkler@uspto.gov].

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel, can be reached at 703-308-4027.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 or for informal communications use 703-746-3162.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

ULRIKEWINKLER, PHD.

PATENT EXAMINER